

Bestwood & Basford Area 2 Committee 25th May 2016

Title of paper:	Nottingham City Homos LIndata						
	Nottingham City Homes Update Nick Murphy. Chief Executive of Wards affected: Bestwood						
Director(s)/	Nick Murphy, Chief Executive of	& Basford					
Corporate Director(s):	Nottingham City Homes & Basford						
Report author(s) and	Leanne Hoban, Decent Neighbourhoods Manager, Nottingham City						
contact details:	Homes Leanne.hoban@nottinghamcityhomes.org.uk						
	Tomos <u>Ecanno.nobarrenotangnamotynomes.org.uk</u>						
Other colleagues who	Wade Adams, Tenancy Estate Manager, Nottingham City Homes						
have provided input:	wade.adams@nottinghamcityhomes.org.uk						
	0115 746 9090						
Date of consultation w	ith Portfolio Holder(s) N/A						
(if relevant)							
Delevent Council Dien 6	Nantagia Deigeitur						
Relevant Council Plan S Cutting unemployment by							
Cut crime and anti-social							
	Ensure more school leavers get a job, training or further education than any other CityYour neighbourhood as clean as the City Centre						
Help keep your energy bi	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
Good access to public tra							
Nottingham has a good mix of housing							
	ce to do business, invest and create jobs						
	range of leisure activities, parks and sporti	ng events					
Support early intervention							
	r money services to our citizens						
		·					
	luding benefits to customers/service us						
	ates on key issues and themes which link	back to local priorities and the					
strategic themes for Notti	ngham City Homes.						
The reporte provide cum	many undated on the following key themes:						
	nary updates on the following key themes:						
Capital Programme and major work;							
 Area regeneration and environmental issues; key messages from the Tenant and Leasehold Congress; 							
 key messages from the Tenant and Leasehold Congress; Tenant and Residents Associations updates; 							
 Area performance 	•						
•	and positive publicity.						
Recommendation(s):							
	nt on the update and performance informat	ion					
	in on the update and performance informat						
2 To note the allocation	on of funds for 2016/17, detailed in Appendi	x 1.					
	······································						

1. <u>REASONS FOR RECOMMENDATIONS (INCLUDING OUTCOMES OF</u> <u>CONSULTATION)</u>

- 1.1 The Nottingham City Homes Update provides a descriptive and statistical picture of what is happening at an area level and invite community representatives to comment, debate, challenge and identify how they can add value to improve their neighbourhoods.
- 1.2 The update also monitors progress in the wards and acts as a catalyst for debate about the key performance issues impacting upon the ward on a quarterly basis.

2 BACKGROUND (INCLUDING OUTCOMES OF CONSULTATION)

- 2.1 Nottingham City Homes previously reported on performance at local Area Panels, Panels that sit below the respective Area Committees. These panels were attended by local residents, local Councillors and partner agencies.
- 2.2 Nottingham City Homes has a goal to 'create homes and places where people want to live' and to give tenants and leaseholders an input in shaping what happens in their area. The Nottingham City Homes Update and Performance Report is one of a number of initiatives that increases the transparency and accountability of the Company's performance.
- 2.3 Following the decision for the Nottingham City Homes reps to attend Area Committee, it was decided to provide the Nottingham City Homes Update Report to Area Committee. Appendices 1 and 2 provide the latest performance position for the committee to note and comment on.

Appendix 1 outlines the remaining capital budget for this area for noting.

3 OTHER OPTIONS CONSIDERED IN MAKING RECOMMENDATIONS

None

4 FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS (INCLUDING VALUE FOR MONEY/VAT)

Budgets are allocated on a yearly basis for each ward and there is an obligation on Nottingham City Homes to ensure that funds are allocated to projects within these budget requirements

5 <u>RISK MANAGEMENT ISSUES (INCLUDING LEGAL IMPLICATIONS AND</u> <u>CRIME AND DISORDER ACT IMPLICATIONS)</u>

None

6 EQUALITY IMPACT ASSESSMENT

6.1 Has the equality impact been assessed?

Not needed (report does not contain proposals or financial decisions)

 \square

7. <u>LIST OF BACKGROUND PAPERS OTHER THAN PUBLISHED WORKS OR</u> <u>THOSE DISCLOSING CONFIDENTIAL OR EXEMPT INFORMATION</u>

None

8. PUBLISHED DOCUMENTS REFERRED TO IN COMPILING THIS REPORT

None

APPENDIX 3: SCHEMES SEEKING APPROVAL 2016/17

BESTWOOD WARD

Site	Proposal	Assessment	Proposed Decision	Budget £113,840
Raymede Drive phase 2	'Grander designs' Continue fencing programme from phase 1. Scheme joint funded with N.C.C.	Scheme will improve security for residents and reduce crime.	Agreed at June 2015 Area Committee	£32,865
Leybourne Drive Fencing Programme	'Grander designs' Continue fencing programme on completion of Raymede Drive onto Leybourne Drive. Scheme joint funded with N.C.C.	Scheme will improve security for residents and reduce crime	Agreed at June 2015 Area Committee	£50,000
			Total carried forward	£30,975

No further schemes to be approved at May 2016 Area Committee.

BASFORD WARD

Site	Proposal	Assessment	Proposed Decision	Budget £109,420
Toton Close transformational work	'Grander design' Transformation of Toton Close area. Scheme joint funded with N.C.C.	Improve environment and security for residents	Agreed at June 2015 Area Committee	£65,000

Total carried forward £44,420

No further schemes to be approved at May 2016 Area Committee.

APPENDIX 3

Area	Ward	Actual Budget (including carry over from 2015/16	Schemes Approved	Schemes Committed	Schemes De Committed	Remaining Budget
2	Bestwood	£137,951.22	£0	£0	£0	£137,951.22
2	Basford	£95,055.70	£0	£0	£0	£95,055.70

Address	Request	Reason	Nottingham City	Cost	Approval
			Homes Officer		

No Requests